

1
2
3
4 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
5 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
6

7 TAKINA JENKINS-NAUDAIN,

8 Plaintiff(s),

9 v.

10 ABM INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED, et
11 al.,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:22-cv-01826-CDS-NJK

Scheduling Order

[Docket No. 26]

12 Pending before the Court is a joint discovery plan. Docket No. 26.^{1, 2}

13 The discovery plan seeks special scheduling review because the parties engaged in motion
14 practice earlier in the case, Docket No. 26 at 2, but that is a common occurrence in federal litigation
15 and no meaningful explanation has been provided as to why that circumstance warrants extra time
16 for discovery in this case.

17 Accordingly, the joint discovery plan is **DENIED** and deadlines are instead calculated
18 pursuant to the default deadlines in the local rules. Deadlines are hereby **SET** as follows:

- 19 • Amend pleadings/ add parties: April 12, 2023
20 • Initial experts: May 12, 2023
21 • Rebuttal experts: June 12, 2023
22 • Discovery cutoff: July 11, 2023
23 • Dispositive motions: August 10, 2023
24

25 ¹ The parties were required to file the discovery plan within 44 days of the defendant's first
26 appearance. *See* Local Rule 26-1(a). Counsel failed to comply with that deadline. Counsel are
cautioned that they must strictly comply with all deadlines moving forward.

27 ² Although afforded an opportunity to do so, *see* Docket No. 25, Defendants have not
28 renewed their motion to stay discovery. Hence, the circumstances are ripe for entering a
scheduling order.

- Joint proposed pretrial order: September 8, 2023, or 30 days after resolution of dispositive motions

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 20, 2023



Nancy J. Koppe
United States Magistrate Judge